

Analyst day

06 June 2024, Copenhagen



Agenda

Introduction by CEO

Johan Kirstein Brammer, CEO (14:10-14:20)

Update on Norway

Mikael Kärrsten, CTO (14:20 – 15:00)

Guidewire (a new claims handling system)

Frederik Sjørslev Søgaard, CIO (15:00 – 15:30)

Coffee break

(15:30 – 15:45)

Al strategy

Alexandra Bastkær Winther, CCO & Maria Palsmark, Head of Claims Denmark (15:45 – 16:15)

Closing remarks by CFO

Allan Kragh Thaysen, CFO (16:15 – 16:30) 1 | Introduction by CEO



First year as a CEO





2. Update on Norway



Tryg Norway at a glance

Tryg Norway makes up 22% of Tryg's Revenue ...







2 | Update on Norway

Overview of Insurance revenue and Insurance service result in Norway



Private Lines challenged by harsh weather condition in recent quarters



Combined ratio



Combined ratio (excluding larger & weather claims)

2 | Update on Norway

The merging of a new Commercial Lines builds on strong progress in old "Commercial" and "Corporate"





2 | Update on Norway

Customer groups with high 'stickiness' have been a key driver to growth in Private Norway





Rate increase in Motor, Private Norway







3. Guidewire

a new claims handling system



"STP is the rule, manual handling the exception"

A new approach to claims ensuring high volume automatisation



#1 Customer satisfaction, derived from reduced customer journey time



1.5 percentage point reduction in claims leakage



40%

of total automatisation driven by STP "No touch claims"

Shifting from old legacy to a modern system supporting process optimisation and automatisation was necessary to deliver the vision of "STP is the rule"



Guidewire supporting the claim process



TOSCA is a lot of screens with input data

ClaimCenter**	Dergog (v Sold Decession) (v Sold Decession (v Cashed V C
	🔹 🖲 🔁 Pol 5200036613786 hummerslade: D556055 Skiele: Andreas Melseer Skiele: Skiele: Andreas Melseer Skiele: Skiele
P Handlinger	Sammendrag
El Sonventag	
Overtilk	Grundlag Okoroni Natylakindikatore Andre Indikatore Alere El degu El teorrapis al unity enteregissioneted El
Satur	Sisewalgh 0,000K
STP Status	Not 20000
Skadesutatistik	1603 avet . 0,00004.
1E Arbeidagian	Stadentiquest 01-05/2010.00 Submet
JE Oplysninger om skaden 🗸 🗸	Annekterisendeten 09 45 2024 Besikruetse Glasskade fra Australia
P Deposites	
@ Genfusikring	Leoures #
🐒 Involvende parter 🗸 👻	S m. 5 Type 5 Baltning 5 Baltning 5 Baltning 5
🗈 Police 🗸 👻	1 Nernij Kalav /ručnas/Heiser 1,000X 02HK 02HK 02HK
🛃 Olicroni 🗸 🗸	Services III
Ø Noter	Type: Sco Environ: 2 Taldrill & Nanti-Nandlag, Analdenandligherbundligher Michikawa (Handlingsgin & Reistonetti) Enviro (Thiod & Thiod &
D Dokumenter	A Informatist. Kenetaj Teda Molaris DL Lang Range Pe Teda Molari S LL Lang Range Pe (d55053)
🛪 Handingsplan 🗸 🗸	Odverd Second Seco
Services	
A fetning	Planlage sldwieter
() Haterik	Print © Prioritat © Ennie © TBlefit % © C(Int) Fourmaniados
	E 12 (2) S124 Akut Special heatigation Claim Studiation Eligation State Studiation State Studiation State Studiation State Studiation State Studiation State Studiation State Sta
	200221 Remain Densingui-relatinguitement

3 | Guidewire

A new approach to claims ensuring volume automatisation

... Guidewire enabled us to atomize and optimise effectively across the entire process ...



 $\mathbf{\Sigma}$

... showing great results per Q1 2024 ahead of time





Fast customer journey is a key contributor to high customer satisfaction...



... and automatisation is a key contributor to fast customer journey



Customer satisfaction as a function of customer journey time in days



Customer satisfaction as a function of automatisation

SWC = Satisfaction with Claim

STP is main focus, but we also invest in automatisation of partial claims processes that do not become STP but the best results come from STP because the human factor is taken out.







Half the calls to claims handlers in an ongoing claim can be avoided

Digital customer engagement is a prerequisite for a high degree of STP

3 | Guidewire

Claims Handling at the Customer's Fingertips

Engaging customers digitally



+80% of all claims reported digitally



90% of all chats handled by our chatbot Mia





Reduced the amount of call per claim









Coffee break

(to be continued in 15 minutes)



4. Al strategy

4 | Al strategy

Tryg has been working with automation and classic AI across the organisation for many years – with clear focus on financial benefits





Al based chatbot as first line of defense on digital customer interaction. Handles 90% of all customer chats, rest escalates to a human Assisting service agents by retrieving information and suggesting responses, enhancing productivity and efficiency

Predicting customer churn with machine learning to develop targeted retention strategies Utilising behavioural science and personalisation of websites to nudge customers; increasing sales and reducing claims Identifying and preventing fraudulent activities with machine learning to minimise financial losses



Generative AI unlocks a range of new possibilities for automation





A single Large Language Models can perform all of these functionalities

4 | Al strategy

The main purpose of AI will be to <u>lower costs</u> and <u>improve profitability</u>



The purpose with AI at Tryg is to underpin our general corporate strategy

Focus efforts within AI to enhance productivity, support excellence risk mgmt. and enable high customer satisfaction

Customer Satisfaction

Use AI **to improve customer satisfaction** (indirect bottomline effect through improved retention)

Cost & Efficiency

Use AI to **increase our efficiency in internal processes** (direct bottomline effect)

Cost & Efficiency

Profitability & Risk Mgmt.

Customer atisfaction Profitability & Risk Mgmt.

Use Al improve UW quality and risk selection (direct bottomline effect)

We will both <u>experiment broadly</u> and invest in a few <u>targeted use cases</u>



Scope for lighthouse areas and local use cases

Lighthouse areas

Areas we expect to hold especially high benefits with potential for scaling benefits across Tryg



- A few, centrally endorsed prioritized cases with potential to really move us in GenAI
- Ensure to focus resources where benefit is highest

Local use cases

Wide, fast and small experimentation to investigate potential new ideas



- Experimentation part of business as usual in local data science teams, enabled by new technology
- Ensure no opportunities are missed





Classic AI and advanced AI can be used in several places throughout the claims value-chain



DKK +100m in benefit over the next coming years

Al development within claims focusing on 4 key capabilities in order to secure scaling of Al





Al model on accident







AI for motor collision





- AI model is based on GenAI implemented for automatic allocation of liability for collision damage on Auto based on incident descriptions
- First generation of AI implementation in Guidewire workflow

Pretoria – An AI prevention model







- An Al prevention algorithm that identifies small and local claims trends useable for short horizon claims predictions
- This is used for tailored customer interactions in specific geographical area in order to prevent claims





5. Closing remarks by CFO



5 | Closing remarks by CFO

Return on Own Funds (ROOF) an improved profitability measure introduced at CMD 2021

Expected Return on Own Funds development 2021 to 2024 target (%)





and Codan Norway acquisition

30

5 | Closing remarks by CFO

The optimisation of ROOF ultimately comes down to allocated capital





$\label{eq:alpha} \begin{tabular}{ll} \mbox{Allocated capital is an important driver of ROOF, naturally driven by insurance business } \end{tabular}$



ROOF, additional to COR, is an important measure for an insurance company





5 | Closing remarks by CFO

Business areas with higher risk have higher capital charges¹⁾





 Capital charge is a rate that measures the capital that an insurance company needs to allocate in its balance sheet as a safeguard against insurance risk.: Capital charge is defined here as capital to premiums for the difference Business segments

Level of capital charge depends on type of product



Large variation in capital

charges across product

product risk

types due to **differences in**

From a ROOF perspective,

products with a **heavier**

capital charge must have

a better Combined ratio

EXAMPLES OF PRODUCTS FOR EACH RISK TYPE Type of product risk Capital charge (%) Product examples 25 50 75 Long-tailed risk Workers • Due to the significant uncertainty Compensation of long-tailed products we need to \ominus hold back a relatively high amount of capital **Property CL** Large Risk • Insured products that are associated with large damages **Frequency Risk Content Insurance** • Change in frequency/future occurrence will automatically add a risk of uncertainty

Partner contracts usually have higher COR, but risk sharing mechanisms can provide attractive ROOFs



Distribution channel examples	Combined Ratio (%)	Capital charge (%)	Return on Own Funds (%)	
 CoR and Premiums are shared evenly between Tryg and the Partner, reducing both profits and losses. Capital charge is lower leading to a higher ROOF compared to partners agreements without risk-sharing mechanism 				
• Regular private segment partner contract, without risk-sharing mechanism. A good ROOF is achieved, even with a higher COR, given low-risk segment (private segment)				
• Regular private direct customer (not a partner agreement) without risk sharing mechanism generating a high ROOF via highly attractive COR				

ROOF does not eliminate the importance of COR



ROOF = Risk/Return Relationship



COR = Profitability Measure ... both KPIs need to be considered to balance profits and dividend payout



ROOF/COR tradeoff: High COR/ROOF cannot stand alone; balance between profitability and risk/return relationship is required



The principle of ROOF is fundamental in Tryg's financial management. It will continue to serve as a key element in the forthcoming CMD period. It is important to know your investment case



"Do you know the only thing that gives me pleasure?"

It's to see my dividends coming in."

John D. Rockefeller



37