ÍL Fund:

Minutes of meeting with holders of HFF150434 Notes, 10 April 2025

On 10 April 2025 at 16:00 hrs., a meeting was held with holders of Notes in series HFF150434 (hereinafter "the meeting"). The meeting was held at the Hilton Reykjavík Nordica Hotel, Suðurlandsbraut 2, 108 Reykjavík.

Viðar Lúðvíksson, Supreme Court Attorney, who had been nominated by the issuer to serve as meeting chair on the basis of Article 7 of Exhibit G to the Fiscal Agency Agreement, called the meeting to order and welcomed those present. The chair asked those present whether there were any objections made to his nomination. No objections were made to his nomination as meeting chair. The chair proposed that Sigurgeir Valsson, Attorney at Law, be elected the secretary of the meeting. The chair asked guests whether there were any other proposals for meeting secretary or whether there were any objections to the nomination of the meeting secretary. No objections or other proposals were set forth. Thereafter, Sigurgeir was unanimously elected the secretary of the meeting by a show of hands.

Next, the chair introduced additional assistants at the meeting in addition to the chair and secretary – Sara B Þórsdóttir, lawyer; and Selma Filippusdóttir from Íslandsbanki, which is the Fiscal Agent and Principal Paying Agent in relation to the Notes – both who would assist the chair and secretary. No objections or comments were made thereto.

Also in attendance were two representatives of the issuer: Katrín Oddsdóttir and Jón Gunnar Vilhelmsson. Others present were Lovísa Anna Finnbjörnsdóttir, financial advisor to the issuer; and Jóhannes Karl Sveinsson, attorney and legal advisor to the issuer.

The chair determined the lawfulness of the meeting. The chair stated that the meeting had been called by the issuer with an advertisement published on the securities exchange on 11 March 2025, as well as an Icelandic-language advertisement published in *Morgunblaðið* on 13 March 2025 and an English-language advertisement published in *Morgunblaðið* on 16 March 2025. The chair noted that the advertisements had included the meeting agenda, as well as the complete text of the issuer's proposal, which would be subjected to a vote at the meeting.

The chair asked those present whether there were any objections or comments on the manner in which the meeting had been called. No objections or comments were made.

With reference to the foregoing, the chair declared the meeting duly convened and constituted, pursuant to Exhibit A, Article 17 of the Fiscal Agency Agreement and Article 6 of Exhibit G to the same agreement.

It was noted that the meeting was attended by representatives of 51 Noteholders that own 99% of the outstanding principal amount of Notes in the series, and that all those present had received a Voting Certificate to be authorized to vote at the meeting.

With reference to the foregoing, the chair noted that the specified number of Noteholders and the specified proportion of the outstanding principal amount in the series satisfied the most stringent requirements laid down in Exhibit G to the Fiscal Agency Agreement, particularly Article 8 of the Exhibit and the definitions of the terms "Relevant Fraction" and "Reserved Matter", in order to take decisions on

4

the proposal before the meeting, as these requirements state that among those present shall be at least two Voters representing or holding not less than three quarters of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes in the relevant series.

The chair asked those present whether any attendees had any objections or comments on the information concerning attendance at the meeting, or on the chair's determination of the meeting's lawfulness. No objections or comments were made; therefore, the chair declared the meeting lawfully constituted and authorised to take decisions on the proposal at hand.

Next the chair explained who were eligible to attend the meeting, with reference to Article 12 of Exhibit G to the Fiscal Agency Agreement, which set forth an exhaustive list of the individuals authorised to attend.

The chair noted that prior to the meeting, a request had been set forth for the following parties to be granted an authorisation to attend the meeting:

- Óttar Pálsson and Hjördís Halldórsdóttir, attorneys and advisors to specified Noteholders.
- Stefán Þór Bjarnason, Þórir Örn Ingólfsson, and Hildur Sveinbjörnsdóttir, employees of Arctica, all of whom were financial or business advisors to specified Noteholders.

No objections or comments were made concerning Óttar and Hjördís' attendance, nor were there objections to the chair's proposal that a single vote be taken on whether to authorise Stefán, Þórir, and Hildur to attend. A vote was taken on the proposal, which was approved unanimously and without comment.

The following creditors, or their representatives by proxy, were in attendance:

Noteholder	Meeting attendee
Birta Pension Fund, private pension division	Ólafur Sigurðsson
Birta Pension Fund	Ólafur Sigurðsson
Eftirlaunasjóður FÍA [FÍA Retirement Fund] ¹	Ólafur Örn Jónsson
The Icelandic Pension Fund – Lífsbraut 4	Ólafur Páll Gunnarsson
The Icelandic Pension Fund – mutual pension division	Ólafur Páll Gunnarsson
Lífeyrissjóður Tannlæknafélags Íslands [Icelandic Dentistry Association	Ólafur Páll Gunnarsson
Pension Fund] – mutual pension division	
Lífeyrissjóður Tannlæknafélags Íslands [Icelandic Dentistry Association Pension Fund]	Ólafur Páll Gunnarsson

¹ TRANSLATOR'S NOTE: English versions of pension fund names appearing in brackets are unofficial translations inserted solely for clarity. English pension fund names not in brackets are taken from websites and other similar sources.

9

Ólafur Páll Gunnarsson
Ólafur Páll Gunnarsson
Ólafur Páll Gunnarsson
Harald Gunnar Halldórsson
Harald Gunnar Halldórsson
Harald Gunnar Halldórsson
Ólafur Örn Jónsson
Arne Vagn Olsen
Stefán Sveinbjörnsson
Stefán Sveinbjörnsson
Stefán Sveinbjörnsson
Sigurður Óli Hákonarson and Gunnar Þór Ásgeirsson
Sigurður Óli Hákonarson and Gunnar Þór Ásgeirsson
Sigurður Óli Hákonarson and Gunnar Þór Ásgeirsson





Kristjana Sigurðardóttir
Sigurbjörn Sigurbjörnsson
Sigurbjörn Sigurbjörnsson
Sigurbjörn Sigurbjörnsson
Sigurbjörn Sigurbjörnsson
Bárður Þór Sveinsson
Jóhann Steinar Jóhannsson
Jóhann Líndal Jóhannsson
Bárður Þór Sveinsson
Jóhann Steinar Jóhannsson
Bárður Þór Sveinsson
Jón Loftur Árnason
Self
Garðar Jón Bjarnason
Self
Tryggvi Tryggvason
Tryggvi Tryggvason
Self
s Ólafur Örn Jónsson
Ólafur Örn Jónsson
Ólafur Örn Jónsson





Central Bank of Iceland	Hákon Zimsen

The chair reviewed the meeting agenda, which contained only one item:

1. Vote on the issuer's proposal presented to the meeting.

Thereafter, the agenda was followed.

1. Vote on the issuer proposal presented before the meeting

The chair noted that before the meeting was a proposal from the issuer, to the effect that a new temporary provision, Article 7.A on a special settlement, should be added to the terms and conditions of the Notes, and that the original text of the proposal was in English. The chair explained that the matter required a vote. The chair explained that the issuer's proposal had been published in its entirety in the call to the meeting, together with the agenda, with the required advance notice provided for in Article 6 of Exhibit G to the Fiscal Agency Agreement. The chair informed the meeting that he had received brief comments from the issuer together with the proposal, which the chair read aloud. The chair displayed the issuer proposal in its entirety on a slide and asked those present whether anyone requested that he read the full text of the issuer's proposal aloud. No such requests were set forth.

The chair asked those present whether anyone wished to address the meeting in connection with the proposal prior to the chair discussing the arrangements for voting on it.

Óttar Guðjónsson, Noteholder, addressed the meeting and explained his objections to the proposal. In closing, Óttar presented the meeting secretary with written comments, which read as follows [translated from Icelandic]:

"The undersigned protests the legality of the present proposal concerning the settlement of Notes in the series HFF150434 and the manner in which it has been handled. If the proposal is passed, the undersigned reserves full rights in this context, including the right to test its legality in court. The following are several points, although this is not an exhaustive list, and the right is reserved to present other and further perspectives at a later time.

- The terms and conditions of the Notes do not authorise accelerated payment of the debt, nor do they authorise payment in a manner other than that agreed upon.
- The proposal for the settlement of the Notes on the basis of provisions in the so-called Fiscal Agency Agreement (FAA) pertaining to HFF150434 is not authorised and is therefore unlawful, in part due to the following points:
 - The FAA in question has not been published for Note investors by announcement to the securities exchange.
 - The FAA in question has not been presented with appropriate advance notice with the call to the meeting.
 - The terms and conditions of the Notes and the provisions of the FAA in question are inconsistent with one another.
- The proposal before the meeting discriminates between larger and smaller investors as regards terms. Such discrimination is unlawful and, for example, in violation of the





fundamental principles of commercial law, securities exchange rules pertaining to listed bonds, general non-discrimination rules laid down in Icelandic law, and Constitutional provisions on ownership rights.

Óttar Guðjónsson, owner of HFF150434 Notes"

The chair asked whether others wished to address the meeting. No one requested permission to address the meeting.

The chair stated that he did not consider it within his purview to respond substantively to Óttar's comments. On the other hand, the chair considered it appropriate to respond to the comments to the extent that they pertained to the conduct of the meeting and the planned vote. The chair stated that he considered the issuer's proposal to satisfy both formal and substantive requirements pertaining to proposals for Noteholder meetings as set forth in the Fiscal Agency Agreement and the Exhibits to it. Furthermore, the chair noted that he considered the current proposal to fall within the category of a "Reserved Matter" as defined in Exhibit G to the Fiscal Agency Agreement. The proposal was therefore admissible for a vote. In this context, the chair also reiterated that he found that Article 15 of Exhibit A to the Fiscal Agency Agreement, to which Óttar had referred, did not represent an exhaustive list of topics that could be presented to creditors as a "Reserved Matter".

The vote was then held. The chair explained the rules on voting and the conduct of the vote according to Articles 13-15 of Exhibit G to the Fiscal Agency Agreement.

The chair explained that according to Article 13 of the Exhibit, voting on all proposals at the Noteholder meeting should in the first instance take place by a show of hands. The chair stated that he could not see that any exceptions from that procedure would be permissible. According to Article 14 of the Exhibit, voting shall be conducted by written ballot if the meeting chair, the issuer, or Noteholders controlling at least 2% of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes so requested, but according to Article 13 of the Exhibit, such a request must be made before or at the time when the results of the vote by a show of hands are declared.

The chair also stated that a request to a poll by written ballot had been received from the issuer, and that the chair planned to carry out a ballot vote after the manual vote was concluded. No objections were made to this arrangement.

The chair stated that he considered the proposal before the meeting to fall within the definition of an "Extraordinary Resolution" as provided for in Exhibit G to the Fiscal Agency Agreement, in addition to being a "Reserved Matter" according to the definition in the same Exhibit. The meeting was attended by the required number of Noteholders who, in addition, controlled more than three-quarters (¾) of the outstanding claim amount according to the Notes in the series; cf., among other things, Exhibit G, Article 8; and the definition of "Relevant Fraction" in the Exhibit. The chair stated that in order for the proposal before the meeting to be considered approved according to the foregoing, it would have to be approved by three-fourths of votes cast at the meeting.

A vote on the issuer proposal before the meeting was taken with a show of hands. The result was that 13 voted in favour of the proposal and 6 voted against.



The chair then explained that a poll by written ballot would now be held on the issuer's proposal. No objections or comments were made. The chair explained the voting arrangements, including that attendees with voting rights should indicate on their ballot the number of votes they wished to cast in favour of and/or in opposition to the proposal.

A written vote was carried out, whereupon a short recess was taken while the votes were counted.

The meeting then reconvened, and the chair reported the results of the ballot vote.

The result of the vote was as follows:

In favour of the proposal were 1,230,934 votes, or 81.39% of votes cast.

In opposition to the proposal were 281,364 votes, or 18.61% of votes cast.

The chair then declared the issuer's proposal approved, as it had received more than three-quarters of the votes cast.

The chair offered those present the option of addressing the meeting. No one requested permission to address the meeting.

The chair thanked those present for attending, thanked the assistants at the meeting for their work, and adjourned the meeting at 16:49 hrs.

Viðar Lúðvíksson, meeting chair

Sigurgeir Valsson, meeting secretary