

16 JUL 2024

Fitch Affirms Akropolis at 'BB+'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - Warsaw - 16 Jul 2024: Fitch Ratings has affirmed AKROPOLIS GROUP, UAB's Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BB+' with a Stable Outlook. Fitch has also affirmed Akropolis's senior unsecured rating at 'BB+' with a Recovery Rating of 'RR4'.

Akropolis is concentrated on five shopping centres with an average retail gross lettable area (GLA) of 64,000 square metres (sqm) in Lithuania (A/Stable) and Latvia (A-/Positive). This limits asset, tenant and geographical diversification, which is a rating constraint.

The concentration risks are balanced against Akropolis's dominant position in its local retail markets, which is reflected in its continuously robust operating performance, including low vacancies. Its financial profile is strong for the rating with low leverage and ample liquidity. Fitch-calculated net debt/EBITDA is around 3.0x and forecast to rise to a still moderate 4.3x in 2027 as Akropolis incurs substantial capex on its Akropolis Vingis mixed-use development. We expect EBITDA interest coverage to be high at 3.0x despite 2026 and 2027 debt refinancing with higher interest rates.

Key Rating Drivers

Rental Income Growth: In 2023 Akropolis's rental income increased 12% like-for-like (2022: 25%), driven mainly by CPI indexation of 8.2%. The average indexation applied in January 2024 was 7.2%. EBITDA margin, excluding one-off income related to marketing activities, improved in 2023 to 95% (2022: 93%), helped by lower property operating expenses, including stabilised energy costs that the group passed on to its tenants.

Growing Tenants' Sales and Footfall: The tenants' occupancy cost ratio (OCR) remained broadly flat at an affordable 10%, helped by a 7% increase in tenants' sales. This was due mainly to still high (albeit lower than in 2022) inflation of 8.7% in Lithuania and 9.1% in Latvia and increasing footfall. The Akropolis assets attracted over 44 million visitors in 2023 (7% increase year on year).

High Occupancy: Occupancy was high at 97% at end-2023 with only Akropole Alfa shopping centre in Riga experiencing a 6% vacancy. We do not expect the damage in Alfa caused by heavy rainfall on 1 July 2024 to materially affect the operations of the asset. Akropolis's GLA weighted average lease terms (to break) was 3.8 years (end-2022: 3.7 years) but would be lower if weighted by income. Given the strong market position of Akropolis's assets re-letting risk related to its material 2024 lease expiries (23% by rent) is, in Fitch view, limited. A substantial portion of it has already been re-let.

Dividend Payment: For the first time since 2020 Akropolis in April 2024 paid out dividends of EUR70 million from its accumulated profits, using its end-2023 readily available cash of EUR206 million. Fitch does not expect material dividends in 2025-2027, due to scheduled Akropolis Vingis capex and

debt maturities, which management plans to address ahead of time.

Concentrated Portfolio: Akropolis's five assets valued at around EUR1 billion (end-2023) are in the small Lithuanian (around 60% by portfolio's market value) and Latvian (around 40%) retail markets. The largest asset, Akropolis Vilnius, comprises over 30% of the group's portfolio value. The number of assets and the size of the retail markets, where some well-known international brands are present via franchisees, mean tenant and asset concentration is high. The top 10 retail tenant groups generate 40% of rent, including 11% from tenants owned by Akropolis's parent company, Vilniaus Prekyba Group (VP Group).

Low Leverage: Fitch forecasts Akropolis's net debt/EBITDA to remain at around 3x in 2024 (2023: 3.1x), as rents indexation and limited capex offset dividend payments. We expect leverage to gradually increase to 4.3x in 2027, due to high capex on the Akropolis Vingis project. We forecast EBITDA interest coverage to decrease to near 3x in 2027 (2023: 5.1x) as Akropolis will refinance its debt at higher interest costs. Fitch-calculated loan-to-value (LTV) was below 30% at end-2023.

Akropolis Vingis Delayed: Akropolis expects to receive building permit for the construction of its mixed-use development in Vilnius in 2H24. The project will have total gross building area of around 220,000 sqm, including retail, office, residential for rent and entertainment space. In 2023 capex on the project was around EUR10 million, mainly related to planning, permitting and some infrastructure works. The total capex, uncommitted at this stage, is planned at around EUR300 million but may be reassessed ahead of works commencement. The first rental income receipts are now expected in 2028.

Akropolis has also continued refurbishment of the common space in its Klaipeda shopping centre and an 480 sqm extension of Akropolis Vilnius. The total related capex is expected at EUR7 million in 2024.

No Independent Oversight: Akropolis's concentrated ownership by the privately-held Vilnius Prekyba (VP) Group means financial disclosure and corporate governance are not comparable with listed companies'. This, together with a lack of independent directors on Akropolis's board, means that the arm's length nature of related-party transactions (including the Maxima Group and sister tenants) does not have the independent oversight of listed peers.

PSL Assessment: Fitch rates Akropolis on a consolidated plus one-notch basis under its Parent and Subsidiary Linkage (PSL) Criteria. We view its legal ringfencing as 'porous' based on self-imposed restrictions in the documentation of its EUR300 million bond maturing in June 2026. The restrictions include a maximum 60% total indebtedness/total assets (quasi-LTV) and less stringent limits on transactions with affiliates and dividends that limit potential value transfers to VP Group.

Access and control is assessed as 'open' due to full ownership by the VP Group and despite Akropolis being separately funded with its own treasury functions and independent cash management.

Derivation Summary

Akropolis's EUR1 billion retail portfolio is similar in size and concentration to Balkans Real Estate B.V.'s (BRE; BB(EXP)/Stable) EURO.7 billion (fully consolidated) portfolio of retail (70% of market value) and

office (30%) assets. However, country risk exposure is materially higher as all its assets are in Serbia (BB+/Stable). MAS PLC's (BB/Stable) nearly EUR1.0 billion central and eastern European portfolio is predominantly in Romania (BBB-/Stable), but has slightly lower asset concentration.

The portfolios of NEPI Rockcastle N.V. (BBB+/Stable), valued at EUR6.7 billion, of Globalworth Real Estate Investments Limited (BBB-/Stable) at EUR2.8 billion, and of Globe Trade Centre S.A. (BB+/Stable) at EUR2 billion are bigger and more diversified. However, only GTC and BRE are diversified between retail and offices.

Akropolis has the most conservative financial profile with net debt/EBITDA expected at below 4.0x until 2026 and an LTV below 35%. BRE's and NEPI's net debt/EBITDA are expected at below 6.0x. NEPI's assets are lower-yielding at a net initial yield of 6.9%. The financial profiles of Globalworth and GTC are weaker.

Key Assumptions

Fitch's Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer:

- Rent increase of 6% in 2024, predominantly due to CPI indexation. Rent CAGR in 2024-2027 of 3%, reflecting indexation
- Stable occupancy of close to 97%
- Around EUR260 million capex until 2027, mostly related to the Akropolis Vingis project
- Dividend of EUR70 million in 2024 and EUR10 million yearly in 2025-2027
- Akropolis's bond to be refinanced at 6.5% in 2026

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors That Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade:

- Expansion of the portfolio in less correlated markets while maintaining portfolio quality
- Unencumbered assets/unsecured debt cover above 2.0x
- Net debt/EBITDA below 8.5x
- A consistent interest-rate hedging policy
- Improved corporate governance
- Improvement of the consolidated profile of VP Group

Factors That Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade:

- Net debt/EBITDA above 9.0x and LTV trending above 55%

- Unencumbered assets/unsecured debt cover below 1.75x
- Failure to complete the Akropolis Vingis development on schedule and/or materially outside the assumed budget
- Twelve-month's liquidity score below 1.0x
- Transactions with related-parties that are detrimental to Akropolis's interests
- Deterioration of the consolidated profile of VP Group/or weaker limitation on value transfers to VP Group leading to 'open' assessment of legal ringfencing

Liquidity and Debt Structure

Strong Liquidity: At end-2023 Akropolis had EUR206 million of cash, excluding EUR19 million held in accounts pledged to banks as collateral that Fitch treats as restricted. The readily available cash, together with Fitch-forecast cash flow from operations less capex of EUR43 million, comfortably covers EUR70 million dividend paid in May and EUR8 million loan amortisations in 2024. The next meaningful debt repayment is in 2026 when Akropolis's EUR300 million unsecured Eurobond matures. Akropolis does not use committed revolving credit facilities as a contingent source of liquidity.

Four out of Akropolis's five assets are unencumbered, resulting in an unencumbered assets/unsecured debt of 2.3x.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

Akropolis has an ESG Relevance Score of '4' for Governance Structure, reflecting the lack of corporate governance attributes to both mitigate key person risk from its dominant shareholder Nerijus Numa and ensure independent oversight of related-party transactions. This has a negative impact on the credit profile, and is relevant to the ratings in conjunction with other factors.

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores>.

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Pawel Jagiello

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+48 22 103 3033

Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited spolka z ograniczona odpowiedzialnoscia oddzial w Polsce Marszalkowska
107, 00-110 Warsaw

Bartlomiej Jakubiec

Senior Analyst

Secondary Rating Analyst

+48 22 103 3041

Paul Lund

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+971 4 424 1274

Media Contacts



Tahmina Pinnington-Mannan

London

+44 20 3530 1128

tahmina.pinnington-mannan@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING		RECOVERY	PRIOR	
AKROPOLIS GROUP, UAB	LT IDR	BB+ 	Affirmed	BB+ 	
• senior unsecured	LT	BB+	Affirmed	RR4	BB+

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE  

NEGATIVE  

EVOLVING  

STABLE 

Applicable Criteria

[Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.03 Nov 2023\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Corporates Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria \(pub.13 Oct 2023\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Parent and Subsidiary Linkage Rating Criteria \(pub.16 Jun 2023\)](#)

[Sector Navigators – Addendum to the Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.21 Jun 2024\)](#)

Applicable Models

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v8.1.0 [\(1\)](#)

Additional Disclosures

[Solicitation Status](#)

Endorsement Status

AKROPOLIS GROUP, UAB EU Issued, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and

shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see [Best- and Worst-Case Measures](#) under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the

requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.